```
1 MARILYN B. GUNNER, ESQ. SB# 149540
   P.O. Box 605
   La Mesa, Ca. 91944-0605
   Telephone: (619) 461-8716
               (619) 461-6795
   Fax:
  Attorney for Material Witnesses
 5
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 6
                     SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 7
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                  )
                                      Criminal Case No. 08cr0611 JM
                                      Magistrate No: 08mj8148 PCL
 9
                  Plaintiff,
                                      REVISED
                                      NOTICE OF MOTION; MOTION FOR
10
        V.
                                      VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION
11
   SERGIO ANGEL DIAZ (1),
                                      AND RELEASE OF MATERIAL
   JASMINE MARIE AYALA (2),
                                      WITNESSES
12
                                      Hearing Date: April 1,2008
                  Defendants.
                                      Time:
                                               1:30 p.m.
13
                                      Judge:
                                               Lewis
14
        TO KAREN P. HEWITT, UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, ALESSANDRA P. SERANO,
15
   ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, BRIAN J. WHITE, ATTORNEY FOR
   DEFENDANT SERGIO ANGEL DIAZ, and SHAUN KHOYAYAN, ATTORNEY FOR
16
17 DEFENDANT JASMINE MARIE AYALA:
        PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on April 1, 2008 at 1:30 p.m., counsel
18
19\parallel will move this court for an order to take the deposition of Material
20 Witnesses ADOLFO VARGAS-GUTIERREZ, ("MR. VARGAS"), JESUS ANTONIO
21 VILLANUEVA-GUTIERREZ, ("MR. VILLANUEVA"), and JOSE LUIS ESTRADA-
22 VASQUEZ ("MR. ESTRADA"), collectively the "Material Witnesses".
23
                                 MOTION
24
        The Material Witnesses, through their counsel, MARILYN B.
25 GUNNER, and pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. Rule 15, 8 U.S.C. Section
26 | 1324 (d), 18 U.S.C. Section 3144, and 18 U.S.C. Section 3142, hereby
   move this court for an order to take their deposition by videotape.
```

Case 3:08-cr-00611-JM Document 19 Filed 03/18/2008 Page 2 of 5

In support of this request, the Material Witnesses submit the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Motion for Videotape Deposition and Release of Material Witnesses, identified here as "Attachment A" and incorporated herein by reference, the records in the above-entitled case and all matters submitted to the court prior to the determination of this motion.

Dated: March 18, 2008 S/ MARILYN B. GUNNER

Attorney for Material Witnesses E-MAIL: mgunnner@cox.net

US v. DIAZ, et.al. 08mj8148 PCL; 08cr0611 JM

3

ATTACHMENT A

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION AND RELEASE OF MATERIAL WITNESSES

5

6

11

14

18

22

23

27

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The Material Witnesses were detained on February 16, 2008. They were found inside a travel trailer driven by Defendant DIAZ, along with 10 others who were determined to be here illegally. Defendant 10 AYALA was a passenger in the trailer driven by DIAZ.

On March 4, 2008, both Defendants were Indicted on three counts 12 of violation of Title 18, U.S.C. \S 1324(a)(1)(A)(ii) and (v)(II) -13 Transportation of Illegal Aliens and Aiding and Abetting.

As of the date of this motion, none of these Material Witnesses 15 have been able to find someone who will sign a bond on their behalf. 16 Ongoing efforts are being made to find sureties. As of the date of 17 this Motion, the Witnesses will have been in custody nearly 6 weeks.

MR. VARGAS has no prospects of obtaining a surety. In Mexico, 19 he supports his Mother who is ill. He has equivalent to a 6th grade 20 education, and was coming to the United States to work, in order to 21 help support her. Requiring him to stay in custody constitutes a severe economic and emotional hardship for him and his family.

MR. VILLANUEVA recently lost his Mother, and is working to 24 support his elderly father in Mexico. He has equivalent to a 9th grade education. Requiring him to stay in custody also creates an economic and emotional hardship for his father, who depends on him.

MR. ESTRADA supports 3 children in Mexico, ages 11 months, 4 $28\parallel$ years and 6 years, and has equivalent of a 9^{th} grade education.

3

7

8

20

25

1 Keeping him in custody while the case is pending constitutes severe 2 economic and emotional hardship for his children.

Besides the economic and emotional hardship, each Material Witnesses' loss of freedom from being incarcerated, while not charged 5 with any crime, constitutes extraordinary circumstances which require granting this Motion to achieve their release.

THE TESTIMONY OF THE MATERIAL WITNESSES CAN BE SECURED BY VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITIONS AND THERE IS NO COMPELLING REASON TO KEEP THEM IN CUSTODY.

9 Depositions of material witnesses may be used at trial in 10 criminal cases, so it is only in exceptional circumstances, where the 11 | interests of justice will be denied, that a videotape deposition is 12 not appropriate. [See IIRIRA § 219 (admissibility of videotape 13 depositions); see also, United States v. King 552 F.2d 933 (9th Cir. $14 \parallel 1976$) (cert. denied, 430 U.S. 966).] The defendant, who is ordered to 15 be present with his counsel at the videotape depositions, has a full 16 and fair opportunity to cross-examine the witnesses. The videotape 17 provides sufficient indicia of reliability to afford the trier of fact 18 a satisfactory basis for evaluating the truth of a statement. [Dutton 19 v. Evans, 400 U.S. 74,89 (1970).]

The burden is on the objecting party to show that the use of the 21 deposition testimony will deny the defendant a fair trial and that the 22 live testimony would somehow be significantly different then that 23 taken at the deposition. [United States v. Humberto Rivera, 859 F.2d 24 1294, 1208 (4th Cir. 1988).]

In <u>Torres-Ruiz v. United States</u>, 120 F.3d. 933, (9th Cir. 26 1997), the Ninth Circuit mandated the use of videotape depositions 27 when the Material Witnesses' testimony can be adequately secured by 28 deposition and further detention is not necessary to prevent the

1 failure of justice. (emphasis added; see 18 U.S.C. § 3144) In Torres-Ruiz, a routine alien smuggling case, the witnesses were sole support of their families in Mexico, and their continued incarceration constituted a hardship on them and their families in 5 Mexico, who depended on them for support. The $\underline{\text{Torres-Ruiz}}$ Court clarified that denial of a motion to videotape the witness' testimony is limited to situations in which a "failure of justice" 8 would occur because the deposition would not serve as an adequate 9 substitute for the witness' live testimony.

As of the date of this Motion, the Material Witnesses have 11 been in custody almost two months, although not charged with a 12 crime. Continued incarceration constitutes severe economic and 13 emotional hardship for them and their families who depend on them 14 for financial support. It is not necessary to continue to detain 15 them, because no failure of justice would occur by videotaping 16||their testimony. Their testimony can be adequately secured by 17 granting this Motion, and there has been no showing that the 18 deposition testimony would be different from the live testimony. Further, they are subject to the subpoena power of this Court.

Therefore, it is requested the Court grant this Motion and 21 order the videotape depositions of the Material Witnesses to occur The Material Witnesses also request the Court order forthwith. 23 their immediate release upon conclusion of the depositions.

24

20

22

10

25 DATED: March 18, 2008 Attorney for Material Witnesses, E-MAIL: mgunner@cox.net

3

26 27